School Budget Signature Form

Fund Center	1806201 CLINTON MS
Fund	010-0000 GF-Unrestricted
LAUSD Program	10359 TSP-Settlement
Version / Year	CM0 / 2018
Grant / Funded	NOT_RELEVANT / OPR00000
Division	3A LOCAL DISTRICT CENTRAL

E	BUDGET M	AINTENANCE WORKS	HEET
Total Allo	cation	1,110,340.00	
Direct Budgeted		1,110,340.00	
Indirect	Limit	0.00	0.000 %
	Budgeted	0.00	0.000 %
COFE/FM	I/GM Docs	0000359255 / /	
Commen	t		
Status		S	

Budget Item Description	Line Type	Functional Area Commit Item	Job / Description	Person. Subarea	Position	P Stat	Start / End Date	Hrs/Day Days/Wk		Total Cost	Change
110161 COUNS SEC C1T 27/10	1POSITN	1110-3110-10359 120021	12200533 Couns, Secondary School	csxx	30440268 Name:	A	07/01/2017 06/30/2018	6.000 5.000	100.00 1.00	115,897.00	
13297 INSTRL COACH SEC C1T	1POSITN	1110-1000-10359 110001	11100846 Instml Coach, Sec	CSXX	30440267 Name:	A	12/01/2017 06/30/2018	6.000 5.000	1.00	67,608.00	
14500 AST PRN SC B1T 41/54	1POSITN	1110-2700-10359 130001	13100659 ASST PRIN, SECONDARY	BSXX	30440266 Name: Bruno Serrano	A	12/01/2017 06/30/2018	8.000 5.000	100.00	84,320.00	
26339 SR OFFICE TECH E1T/5	1POSITN	1110-2700-10359 240001	24102838 Sr Office Technician	ESXX	30440269 Name:	Α	12/01/2017 06/30/2018	8,000 5.000	100.00	40,253.00	
10246 DIFF INSTL COACH SEC	2OTHS-L	1110-1000-10359 110004	Tchr Sal-Supple/Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			1,530.00	
10370 PROF DEV TCHR REG	2OTHS-L	1110-1000-10359 110001	Tchr Sal-Reg Assgnmt				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			25,000.00	
10375 PROF DEV TCHR X-TIME	2OTHS-L	1110-1000-10359 110004	Tchr Sal-Supple/Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			40,000.00	
11087 COUNS SEC X TIME	2OTHS-L	1110-3110-10359 120024	Guidance/Wel Sal-Sup				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			2,000.00	
11458 ADMINISTRATOR X	2OTHS-L	1110-2700-10359 130004	Sch Adm Sal-Supl/Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			10,000.00	
12103 ITIN COUNS PSA C	2OTHS-L	1110-3110-10359 120021	Guidance/Wel Sal-Reg				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			121,022.00	
13114 ITIN PSYCH SOC WKR C	2OTHS-L	1110-3110-10359 120021	Guidance/Wel Sal-Reg				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			121,022.00	
21720 COMMUNITY REP.	2OTHS-L	1110-2100-10359 290004	Other Class-Supple				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			10,000.00	
40124 NON-CAP EQUIP CLSRM	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 440001	Non-Cap Egmt-All Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			200,000.00	
40261 PENDING DISTRIBUTION	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 430009	Instl Mat&Supls-Bud				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			0.00	
40267 IMA	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 430010	Instr Mat-Gen Purp				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			155,024,00	81,664.00-
50002 CONTR INSTRL SVC	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 580030	ProfSv Contr-GP-Inst				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			116,664.00	81,664.00

03/02/2018 12:03:00 Page 1 of 3

School Budget Signature Form

Fund Center	1806201 CLINTON MS
Fund	010-0000 GF-Unrestricted
LAUSD Program	10359 TSP-Settlement
Version / Year	CM0 / 2018
Grant / Funded	NOT_RELEVANT / OPR00000
Division	3A LOCAL DISTRICT CENTRAL

E	BUDGET M	AINTENANCE WORKS	HEET
Total Allo	cation	1,110,340.00	
Direct Budgeted		1,110,340.00	
Indirect	Limit	0.00	0.000 %
	Budgeted	0.00	0.000 %
COFE/FN	VGM Docs	0000359255 / /	
Commen	t		
Status		S	

The local district signatures below confirm that the budget request has been reviewed and approved.

The Board of Education has delegated to the general superintendent and the local district superintendents, the responsibility for budget and program decisions based on state and federal laws, collective bargaining unit agreements, Personnel Commission Rules, Human Resources Division Rules and Policies, court orders, consent decree, and LAUSD's Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), if applicable.

The principal's signature below confirms his/her understanding that: (a) the stakeholders had the opportunity to provide recommendations/input during budget planning and development, (b) the Targeted Student Population (TSP) funds will be used to support the English Learners, low income, and foster youth students, and (c) the TSP funds are subject to audit.

FOR SCHOOL SITE USE ONLY	
Principal's Signature	03/05/18
SSC Chairperson's Signature/SLC Signature (as appropriate)	Date
	Date
	Date

FUI	R BUDGET SERVICE	S AND LD USE ON	LY
A/Log Sheet No.	Input Date	Processed By	
iscal Specialist's Sign	ature	En e	Date
nstructional Area Supe	erintendent or Designee's Si	gnature	Date
nd/or			
nd/or .dministrator of Opera	tions or Designee's Signatu	re (optional)	Date
		re (optional)	Date Date

Reason:

School Budget Signature Form

Fund Center	1806201 CLINTON MS
Fund	010-0000 GF-Unrestricted
LAUSD Program	10359 TSP-Settlement
Version / Year	CM0 / 2018
Grant / Funded	NOT_RELEVANT / OPR00000
Division	3A LOCAL DISTRICT CENTRAL

E	SUDGET M	AINTENANCE WORKS	HEET
Total Allo	cation	1,110,340.00	
Direct Budgeted		1,110,340.00	
Indirect	Limit	0.00	0.000 %
	Budgeted	0.00	0.000 %
COFE/FN	I/GM Docs	0000359255 / /	
Commen	t		
Status		S	

"Unit D, Collective Bargaining Agreement, Appendix C, 4.0, b

...Criteria: The identification of individual employees within a department or unit for reassignment shall be based upon consideration of seniority (as defined below) and also consideration of the objective operational needs of the department or unit, such as the need to minimize disruption of services, the need to minimize retraining of the remaining employees, and the need to retain employees who possess special skills and/or expertise. It may also be based upon the avoidance of extraordinary personal hardship to an employee.

Employees who have volunteered for reassignment shall be considered first, subject to and consistent with the above considerations. Reassignment selections are not to be used to evade appropriate progressive disciplinary procedures; however, employees whose performance is marginal or below standard shall remain subject to the above reassignment criteria along with all other employees. For purposes of identification for reassignment, "seniority" means length of regular District service within the classification."

"My signature confirms that I have followed the Unit D Collective Bargaining Agreement criteria set forth above in approving the reassignments resulting from this budget form."

Principal's Signature

03/05/18

Date

Los Angeles Unified School District 2017-2019 School Innovation Funds Plan

Program Budget Code:

10359 (School Innovation Funds)

Name of School	Local District	Principal
William Jefferson Clinton Middle	Central	Andres Favela

Total Student Enrollment (Duplication Count)	% of Low-Income Students	% of English Learner Students	% of Foster Youth Students	Total Annual Amount of School Innovation Funds Allocated to the School
812	96.00%	29.59%	1.7%	Total \$ 1,110,340

Directions: Briefly describe, if applicable, the services being provided that are aligned to the District's LCAP goals and indicate the amount of School Innovation Funds that support those services. Specify the targeted subgroup(s) as low-income students, English learners, RFEPs, and/or foster youth. **NOTE:** A minimum allocation of School Innovation Funds must be used for professional development activities in English language arts and mathematics, and at a minimum School Innovation funds must be used for improving school climate utilizing a required staffing level. *Please see the SIF Planning and Guidance document to import appropriate staffing levels into the plan.*

To create additional rows, click outside a row on the right side then press enter.

Description of Services that address: 100% Graduation Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth: Graduation rate Percentage of high school students on-track for A-G with a "C" Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
As an Innovation School, we will engage in Local District Central- coordinated Equity Studies that will serve as Needs Assessments to ensure that targeted resources are strategically aligned to the correct areas in order maximize tangible and measurable results for students. The studies will help leaders understand current Conditions of Learning, Achievement and Engagement, in order to craft an Action Plan designed to maximize outcomes. Completion of a site-specific Equity Study, a baseline study to explain the systems and conditions that are producing current student outcomes, particularly for most vulnerable students, with recommendations for an Action Plan. Completion of an Innovation Schools Cluster Equity Study that accurately represent themes across the schools as a group, identifying the Local District and Large District systems and conditions that play a key role, positively or otherwise, in promoting success for most vulnerable students at the Innovation Schools, as a group. In order to ensure that the initiative fully aligns to district goals and direction, and to ensure full district support for the Innovation Schools, Check-ins will provide an opportunity to formalize a communication system between the district and PE partners. Sites will equitably share the cost of monthly standing Check-in sessions between the district office and PE. To provide schools and the district with the training, support and conditions necessary for the school to fully implement the Guidance Alignment, a process whereby guidance staff and teacher leaders from each department come together five times per year to reflect on student progress on key On Track metrics for College and Career Readiness, by subgroup and student, including: Attendance	X 2017-18 X 2018-19	Contract: \$35,000 plus \$ 81,644 = \$ 116,644	Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	 Graduation Rate: 81% Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50% Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%

Conduct	
o Grades	
6 Common Assessment results	
 Course placement 	
 Social and emotional support networks 	
o etc	
To advance the capacity of administrators and other leaders to inspire powerful teaching and learning, and impactful guidance and counseling • Ongoing PD on using data as a flashlight, not a club. Leadership PD to help leaders refine their ability to provide feedback to staff, focused on outcomes and encouraging staff self-reflection and professional development	PD Teacher: \$ 25,000 X-Time: \$40,000 Admin X-time: \$10,000

Description of Services that address: Proficiency for All Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregat income, English learners, RFEPs and foster youth: Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 rd -8 th , 11 th grade English later Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 rd -8 th , 11 th grade mathemate EL reclassification rate Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)	nguage arts	app	chool Year mark olicable ear(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low- income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related Distric wide SY17-18 LCAP Target
Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 rd -8 th , 11 th grade English language arts	13%	Х	2017-	Instructional		 Percentage students wh
Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 rd -8 th , 11 th grade mathematics	13%		18	AP: \$84,320	Low-	met or exceeded
EL reclassification rate	19%	X	2018-	4 - 1,	income, EL,	standards ir 3 rd -8 th , 11 th
Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT-25-16	32%		19		RFEP,	grade ELA:
Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)	2%				and/or	46% Percentage
 Site admin. need ample time to develop their understanding of key sch transformation concepts prior to presenting ideas to staff or implement Participation in a research-based, data-driven Administrative Power Concepts Guarding against the Wallpaper Effect by tracking data 	nting.			Instructional Coach & diff: \$69,138	Foster Youth	students wh met or exceeded standards ir 3 rd -8 th , 11 th

grade math Setting up an On Track monitoring system of key indicators 36% Analyzing and responding to On Track monitoring cycles using Response to EL Intervention for Systems as a way to maximize results reclassificat Developing crystal clarity about what constitutes "desired course-level" expectations rate: 22% Rate of ELs for students making ann Developing crystal clarity about what constitutes powerful teaching/learning progress on classroom practices expected in all rooms CELDT: 57° Developing an Instructional Supervision system of classroom visits/feedback that Decrease in long-term inspires teachers toward continuous improvement English Designing effective site PD, collaboration and staff meeting sessions learners: 17 Designing a school program/master schedule that promotes maximum growth for all students, with a focus on ELs, SWDs, students in foster and transitional living situations, and any other struggling subgroups Curriculum Alignment and the Common Formative Assessment/Data Reflection/Response cycle as an achievement accelerating strategy Non-Cap On-site follow up after each Power Clinic to help admin reflect on the content of the Equip/Class: sessions, apply them to the site, and provide support and feedback as we begin to \$200,000 implement. Beginning Yr. 2 when the Action Plans steps begin to fully roll out, there should be a system of IMA: monitoring key indicators several times throughout the year so that that the district, schools and \$236,688, PE partners can assess the impact of those actions and make mid-course adjustments as the data direct. In preparation, the establishment and training of the CDT during Yr. 1 will allow time for all aspects of the system to be ready to activate by Yr. 2. • The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data Team (district as well as site representatives from Innovation Schools) on developing an On Track monitoring system of key indicators for the entire cluster of Innovation Schools. To define and kick off the instructional focus for the upcoming year, in a highly engaging, memorable way. Teachers will gain clarity of what the site admin will look for/support as grade

• A one-week intensive Summer Institute for teachers. All ELA and math teachers (only Algebra and Algebra Support Yr 1) are encouraged to attend.

or course-level expectations, aligned to SBAC, for the next year.

Other teachers will be provided other PD opportunities during the summer that align with the Innovation initiative.

To provide the school with the training, support and conditions necessary for classroom instruction to be aligned to the rigor of standards, engaging for students using brain-compatible instructional delivery

methods, relevant to students, grounded in real world contexts, teachers will be given the opportunity to attend annual summer institutes that will set the focus for the upcoming school year. To provide teachers with high-impact, low prep strategies to effectively support differentiated instruction	

Description of Services that add 100% Attendance Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's following disaggregated data of low-income, English le foster youth: Percentage of students with a 96% (172-180 days Percentage of students missing 16 days or more is Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above	analysis of the amers, RFEPs and	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Percentage of students with a 96% or higher	74%	[[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Percentage of students with a 96% or higher
Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9%	8.55%	X 2017-18		Low-income, EL, RFEP,	attendance rate: 75%
Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78%	87%	X 2018-19		and/or Foster	Percentage of students missing 16 days or more
While the focus of Yr. 1 is system-building rathe (which begins Yr. 2), it is important to attend to be enthusiasm and momentum from key staff right f Keeping staff fully informed and involved in dec along way toward earning their support for the in improving staff engagement.	ouilding from the beginning. isions should go			Youth	in a school year: 9% Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78%
 In collaboration with site admin: deliver the Equity Study summary develop and train a site Leadership abbreviation of the Admin Power Cafter Admin Clinics pre-game coaching to prep for Sum and for tech requirements of Yr. 2 	o/Data Team, an Clinics to be done nmer Institute		PSA: \$121,022		
In addition, student attendance will be targeted p focus on relevant and engaging instruction as we strategy of offering high-interest experiences beg fuller complement of electives, and to improve a curricular offerings that align with the greatest st	Il as through a ginning with a and diversify extra-				

Description of Services that address: Parent, Community and Student Engagement Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth: Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (minimum 4 workshops annually)	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey School will expand and increase parent involvement and participation, especially on the school experience survey, and parental knowledge about various topics such as A-G, IGP, FAFSA, college application process	X 2017-18 X 2018-19	Comm Rep: \$10,000 Cslg X-time: \$2000 Sr.Office Tech: \$40,253	Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	 Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey: 62% Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (min. 4 workshops): 94%

Budgeted priorities should be be following disaggregated data of foster youth: - Single student suspension - Expulsion rate	is implementing the Discipline Foundation	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District- wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Suspension Rate All EL SED	0.85% 0.37% 0.61%	X 2017-18 X 2018-19		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster	 Suspension rate: .35% Expulsion rate: .01% Extent to which the school is
Expulsion Rate All EL SED				Youth	implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy: 88% Percentage of students who feel
% students who feel safe at school	72%		Counselor: \$115,897		safe at school: 80%

by providing a counselor and other supports. Supporting the Positive Schoolwide Behavior Plan will also be a goal.		PSW: \$121,022		
certify that this School Innovation Funds Plan incorporate evelopment in English language arts and mathematics are the School Innovation Funds guidance, and that the p	nd at a minimum	n, fulfills the requi	rements for schoo	
Andres Favela	au	oh a j	Laure	3-1-18
Andres Favela Typed name of School Principal	Signa	ature of School Pri	feul ncipal	3-1-18 Date
				Date
Typed name of School Principal The Local District Superintendent or Designee has reviewed the School Principal				Date