

School Budget Signature Form

Fund Center	1761401	NAVA COLL PREP ACAD
Fund	010-0000	GF-Unrestricted
LAUSD Program	10359	TSP-Settlement
Version / Year	CM0 / 2018	
Grant / Funded	NOT_RELEVANT / OPR00000	
Division	3A	LOCAL DISTRICT CENTRAL

BUDGET MAINTENANCE WORKSHEET	
Total Allocation	712,760.00
Direct Budgeted	712,760.00
Indirect Limit	0.00
Budgeted	0.00
COFE/FM/GM Docs	0000359252 / /
Comment	
Status	S

Budget Item Description	Line Type	Functional Area Commit Item	Job / Description	Person. Subarea	Position	P Stat	Start / End Date	Hrs/Day Days/Wk	Fund % FTE	Total Cost	Change
110161 COUNS SEC C1T 27/10	1POSITN	1110-3110-10359 120021	12200533 Couns, Secondary School	CSXX	30440272 Name:	A	12/01/2017 06/30/2018	6,000 5,000	100.00 1.00	67,608.00	
13297 INSTRL COACH SEC C1T	1POSITN	1110-1000-10359 110001	11100846 Instrtl Coach, Sec	CSXX	30440271 Name:	A	12/01/2017 06/30/2018	6,000 5,000	100.00 1.00	67,608.00	
14500 AST PRN SC B1T 41/54	1POSITN	1110-2700-10359 130001	13100659 ASST PRIN, SECONDARY	BSXX	30440270 Name:	A	12/01/2017 06/30/2018	8,000 5,000	100.00 1.00	84,320.00	
10246 DIFF INSTL COACH SEC	2OTHSL	1110-1000-10359 110004	Tchr Sal-Supple/Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			1,530.00	
10370 PROF DEV TCHR REG	2OTHSL	1110-1000-10359 110001	Tchr Sal-Reg Assgmt				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			20,000.00	
10375 PROF DEV TCHR X-TIME	2OTHSL	1110-1000-10359 110004	Tchr Sal-Supple/Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			66,000.00	
11087 COUNS SEC X TIME	2OTHSL	1110-3110-10359 120024	Guidance/Wei Sal-Sup				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			4,000.00	
11458 ADMINISTRATOR X	2OTHSL	1110-2700-10359 130004	Sch Adm Sal-Suppl/Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			7,000.00	
12103 ITIN COUNS PSA C	2OTHSL	1110-3110-10359 120021	Guidance/Wei Sal-Reg				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			48,410.00	
21720 COMMUNITY REP.	2OTHSL	1110-1000-10359 290004	Other Class-Supple				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			10,000.00	
40124 NON-CAP EQUIP CLSRM	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 440001	Non-Cap Eqmt-All Oth				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			131,561.00	68,439.00-
40261 PENDING DISTRIBUTION	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 430009	Instl Mat&Suppls-Bud				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			0.00	
40267 IMA	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 430010	Instr Mat-Gen Purp				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			111,284.00	
50002 CONTR INSTRL SVC	3OTH-L	1110-1000-10359 580030	ProfSv Contr-GP-Inst				07/01/2017 06/30/2018			93,439.00	68,439.00

School Budget Signature Form

Fund Center	1761401	NAVA COLL PREP ACAD
Fund	010-0000	GF-Unrestricted
LAUSD Program	10359	TSP-Settlement
Version / Year	CM0 / 2018	
Grant / Funded	NOT RELEVANT / OPR00000	
Division	3A	LOCAL DISTRICT CENTRAL

BUDGET MAINTENANCE WORKSHEET	
Total Allocation	712,760.00
Direct Budgeted	712,760.00
Indirect Limit	0.00
Budgeted	0.00
COFE/FW/GM Docs	0000359252 / /
Comment	
Status	S


The local district signatures below confirm that the budget request has been reviewed and approved.

The Board of Education has delegated to the general superintendent and the local district superintendents, the responsibility for budget and program decisions based on state and federal laws, collective bargaining unit agreements, Personnel Commission Rules, Human Resources Division Rules and Policies, court orders, consent decree, and LAUSD's Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), if applicable.

The principal's signature below confirms his/her understanding that: (a) the stakeholders had the opportunity to provide recommendations/input during budget planning and development, (b) the Targeted Student Population (TSP) funds will be used to support the English Learners, low income, and foster youth students, and (c) the TSP funds are subject to audit.

Reason:

FOR SCHOOL SITE USE ONLY

Principal's Signature  Date 3/2/18


SAC (Chairperson's Signature/SAC Signature (as appropriate)) _____ Date _____

_____ Date _____

_____ Date _____

FOR BUDGET SERVICES AND LD USE ONLY

BALog Sheet No. _____ Input Date _____ Processed By _____

Fiscal Specialist's Signature  Date 3/6/18

Instructional Area Superintendent or Designee's Signature _____ Date _____

and/or _____

Administration of Operations or Designee's Signature (optional) _____ Date _____

Program Coordinator's Signature _____ Date _____

Fiscal Services Manager's Signature _____ Date _____

School Budget Signature Form

Fund Center	1761401	NAVA COLL. PREP ACAD
Fund	010-0000	GF-Unrestricted
LAUSD Program	10359	TSP-Settlement
Version / Year	CM0 / 2018	
Grant / Funded	NOT RELEVANT / OPR00000	
Division	3A	LOCAL DISTRICT CENTRAL

BUDGET MAINTENANCE WORKSHEET	
Total Allocation	712,760.00
Direct	712,760.00
Indirect	0.00
Budgeted Limit	0.00
Budgeted	0.00
COFE/FM/GM Docs	0000359252 / /
Comment	
Status	S

"Unit D, Collective Bargaining Agreement, Appendix C, 4.0, b ...Criteria: The identification of individual employees within a department or unit for reassignment shall be based upon consideration of seniority (as defined below) and also consideration of the objective operational needs of the department or unit, such as the need to minimize disruption of services, the need to minimize retraining of the remaining employees, and the need to retain employees who possess special skills and/or expertise. It may also be based upon the avoidance of extraordinary personal hardship to an employee.

Employees who have volunteered for reassignment shall be considered first, subject to and consistent with the above considerations. Reassignment selections are not to be used to evade appropriate progressive disciplinary procedures; however, employees whose performance is marginal or below standard shall remain subject to the above reassignment criteria along with all other employees. For purposes of identification for reassignment, "seniority" means length of regular District service within the classification."

"My signature confirms that I have followed the Unit D Collective Bargaining Agreement criteria set forth above in approving the reassignments resulting from this budget form."



 Principal's Signature

3/2/18

 Date

Los Angeles Unified School District
2017-2019 School Innovation Funds Plan

Program Budget Code:
 10359 (School Innovation Funds)

Name of School	Local District	Principal
NAVA College Preparatory Academy	Central	Gustavo Barrientos

Total Student Enrollment (Duplication Count)	% of Low-Income Students	% of English Learner Students	% of Foster Youth Students	Total Annual Amount of School Innovation Funds Allocated to the School
619	96.73%	18.47%	.3%	Total \$ 712,760

Directions: Briefly describe, if applicable, the services being provided that are aligned to the District's LCAP goals and indicate the amount of School Innovation Funds that support those services. Specify the targeted subgroup(s) as low-income students, English learners, RFEs, and/or foster youth. **NOTE: A minimum allocation of School Innovation Funds must be used for professional development activities in English language arts and mathematics, and at a minimum School Innovation funds must be used for improving school climate utilizing a required staffing level. Please see the SIF Planning and Guidance document to import appropriate staffing levels into the plan.**

To create additional rows, click outside a row on the right side then press enter.

Description of Services that address: 100% Graduation <i>Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEs, and foster youth:</i>	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFE, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
<p>As an Innovation School, we will engage in Local District Central-coordinated Equity Studies that will serve as Needs Assessments to ensure that targeted resources are strategically aligned to the correct areas in order maximize tangible and measurable results for students. The studies will help leaders understand current Conditions of Learning, Achievement and Engagement, in order to craft an Action Plan designed to maximize outcomes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Completion of a site-specific Equity Study, a baseline study to explain the systems and conditions that are producing current student outcomes, particularly for most vulnerable students, with recommendations for an Action Plan. Completion of an Innovation Schools Cluster Equity Study that accurately represent themes across the schools as a group, identifying the Local District and Large District systems and conditions that play a key role, positively or otherwise, in promoting success for most vulnerable students at the Innovation Schools, as a group. <p>In order to ensure that the initiative fully aligns to district goals and direction, and to ensure full district support for the Innovation Schools, Check-ins will provide an opportunity to formalize a communication system between the district and PE partners.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites will equitably share the cost of monthly standing Check-in sessions between the district office and PE. <p>To provide schools and the district with the training, support and conditions necessary for the school to fully implement the Guidance Alignment, a process whereby guidance staff and teacher leaders from each department come together five times per year to reflect on student progress on key On Track metrics for College and Career Readiness, by subgroup and student, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance 	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2017-18</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2018-19</p>	<p>Contract: \$25,000 plus \$ 68,439= \$ 93,439</p>	<p>Low-income, EL, RFE, and/or Foster Youth</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Graduation Rate: 81% Percentage of high school students on-track for A-G with a "C": 50% Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Conduct ○ Grades ○ Common Assessment results ○ Course placement ○ Social and emotional support networks ○ etc <p>To advance the capacity of administrators and other leaders to inspire powerful teaching and learning, and impactful guidance and counseling</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Ongoing PD on using data as a flashlight, not a club. <p>Leadership PD to help leaders refine their ability to provide feedback to staff, focused on outcomes and encouraging staff self-reflection and professional development</p>		<p>PD Teacher: \$ 20,000 X-Time: \$66,000 Admin X-time: \$7,000</p>		
---	--	---	--	--

Description of Services that address: Proficiency for All <i>Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs and foster youth:</i>	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade English language arts</i> - <i>EL reclassification rate</i> - <i>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT</i> - <i>Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)</i> <table border="1" data-bbox="1023 777 1258 1946"> <tr> <td><i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade English language arts</i></td> <td>52%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade mathematics</i></td> <td>14%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>EL reclassification rate</i></td> <td>43%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT-25-16</i></td> <td>31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)</i></td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </table>	<i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade English language arts</i>	52%	<i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade mathematics</i>	14%	<i>EL reclassification rate</i>	43%	<i>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT-25-16</i>	31%	<i>Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)</i>	0%	<table border="1" data-bbox="1023 609 1258 777"> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>2017-18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>2018-19</td> </tr> </table>	X	2017-18	X	2018-19	Instructional AP: \$84,320	Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage students wh met or exceeded standards ir 3rd-8th, 11th grade ELA: 46% • Percentage students wh met or exceeded standards ir 3rd-8th, 11th
<i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade English language arts</i>	52%																	
<i>Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade mathematics</i>	14%																	
<i>EL reclassification rate</i>	43%																	
<i>Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT-25-16</i>	31%																	
<i>Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)</i>	0%																	
X	2017-18																	
X	2018-19																	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site admin. need ample time to develop their understanding of key school transformation concepts prior to presenting ideas to staff or implementing. Participation in a research-based, data-driven Administrative Power Clinic series on: 																		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guarding against the Wallpaper Effect by tracking data Setting up an On Track monitoring system of key indicators Analyzing and responding to On Track monitoring cycles using Response to Intervention for Systems as a way to maximize results Developing crystal clarity about what constitutes “desired course-level” expectations for students Developing crystal clarity about what constitutes powerful teaching/learning classroom practices expected in all rooms Developing an Instructional Supervision system of classroom visits/feedback that inspires teachers toward continuous improvement Designing effective site PD, collaboration and staff meeting sessions Designing a school program/master schedule that promotes maximum growth for all students, with a focus on ELs, SWDs, students in foster and transitional living situations, and any other struggling subgroups Curriculum Alignment and the Common Formative Assessment/Data Reflection/Response cycle as an achievement accelerating strategy On-site follow up after each Power Clinic to help admin reflect on the content of the sessions, apply them to the site, and provide support and feedback as we begin to implement. <p>Beginning Yr. 2 when the Action Plans steps begin to fully roll out, there should be a system of monitoring key indicators several times throughout the year so that that the district, schools and PE partners can assess the impact of those actions and make mid-course adjustments as the data direct. In preparation, the establishment and training of the CDT during Yr. 1 will allow time for all aspects of the system to be ready to activate by Yr. 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The schools will equitably share the cost of facilitation and training of a Central Data Team (district as well as site representatives from Innovation Schools) on developing an On Track monitoring system of key indicators for the entire cluster of Innovation Schools. <p>To define and kick off the instructional focus for the upcoming year, in a highly engaging, memorable way. Teachers will gain clarity of what the site admin will look for/support as grade or course-level expectations, aligned to SBAC, for the next year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A one-week intensive Summer Institute for teachers. All ELA and math teachers (only Algebra and Algebra Support Yr 1) are encouraged to attend. Other teachers will be provided other PD opportunities during the summer that align with the Innovation initiative. 	<p>Instructional Coach & diff. \$69,138</p> <p>IMA: \$111,284</p> <p>Non-cap Eq Classroom: \$131,561</p>	<p>grade math 36% EL reclassificat rate: 22% Rate of ELs making ann progress on CELDT: 57% Decrease in long-term English learners: 17</p>
--	--	---

<p>To provide the school with the training, support and conditions necessary for classroom instruction to be aligned to the rigor of standards, engaging for students using brain-compatible instructional delivery methods, relevant to students, grounded in real world contexts, teachers will be given the opportunity to attend annual summer institutes that will set the focus for the upcoming school year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide teachers with high-impact, low prep strategies to effectively support differentiated instruction 			
---	--	--	--

Description of Services that address: 100% Attendance <i>Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs and foster youth:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of students with a 96% (172-180 days) attendance rate Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above 	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets										
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Percentage of students with a 96% or higher</td> <td>53.09%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9%</td> <td>21.14%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78%</td> <td>83%</td> </tr> </table> <p>While the focus of Yr. 1 is system-building rather than instruction (which begins Yr. 2), it is important to attend to building enthusiasm and momentum from key staff right from the beginning. Keeping staff fully informed and involved in decisions should go along way toward earning their support for the initiative and improving staff engagement.</p>	Percentage of students with a 96% or higher	53.09%	Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9%	21.14%	Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78%	83%	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>2017-18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>2018-19</td> </tr> </table>	X	2017-18	X	2018-19		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of students with a 96% or higher attendance rate: 75% Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9% Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78%
Percentage of students with a 96% or higher	53.09%													
Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year: 9%	21.14%													
Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above: 78%	83%													
X	2017-18													
X	2018-19													
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In collaboration with site admin: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> deliver the Equity Study summary to staff develop and train a site Leadership/Data Team, an abbreviation of the Admin Power Clinics to be done after Admin Clinics pre-game coaching to prep for Summer Institute and for tech requirements of Yr. 2 alignment system <p>In addition, student attendance will be targeted primarily through a focus on relevant and engaging instruction as well as through a</p>		0.5 FTE PSA:												

strategy of offering high-interest experiences beginning with a fuller complement of electives, and to improve and diversify extra-curricular offerings that align with the greatest student interest.	\$48,410	
--	----------	--

<p>Description of Services that address: Parent, Community and Student Engagement <i>Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey - Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (minimum 4 workshops annually) 	<p>School Year [mark applicable year(s)]</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2017-18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2018-19</p>	<p>Amount of School Innovation Funds</p> <p>Comm Rep: \$10,000 Csg X-time: \$4000</p>	<p>Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth</p>	<p>Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey: 62% • Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (min. 4 workshops): 94%
---	--	--	---	---

<p>Description of Services that address: School Safety <i>Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Single student suspension rate - Expulsion rate - Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy - Percentage of students who feel safe at school 	<p>School Year [mark applicable year(s)]</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2017-18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2018-19</p>	<p>Amount of School Innovation Funds</p> <p>Counselor:</p>	<p>Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth</p>	<p>Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspension rate: .35% • Expulsion rate: .01% • Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy: 88% • Percentage of students who feel safe at school: 80%
--	--	--	---	--


<p>Description of Services that address: School Safety <i>Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Single student suspension rate - Expulsion rate - Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy - Percentage of students who feel safe at school 	<p>School Year [mark applicable year(s)]</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2017-18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2018-19</p>	<p>Amount of School Innovation Funds</p> <p>Counselor:</p>	<p>Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth</p>	<p>Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspension rate: .35% • Expulsion rate: .01% • Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy: 88% • Percentage of students who feel safe at school: 80%
--	--	--	---	--

<p>Suspension Rate</p> <p>All 3.35%</p> <p>EL 1.75%</p> <p>SED 1.91%</p>	<p>Suspension rate:</p> <p>.35%</p>
<p>Expulsion Rate</p> <p>All</p> <p>EL</p> <p>SED</p>	<p>Expulsion rate: .01%</p>
<p>% students who feel safe at school</p> <p>50%</p>	<p>Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy: 88%</p>

School will support the socio-emotional learning needs of students by providing an additional counselor and other supports. Supporting the Positive Schoolwide Behavior Plan will also be a goal.		\$67,608	
---	--	----------	--

I certify that this School Innovation Funds Plan incorporates the minimum requirement of funding to be used for professional development in English language arts and mathematics and at a minimum, fulfills the requirements for school climate personnel per the School Innovation Funds guidance, and that the plan is based on an assessment of school needs.

Gustavo Barmientos _____ 3-1-18
 Signature of School Principal Date

The Local District Superintendent or Designee has reviewed the School Innovation Funds Plan with the principal and agreed to support and provide feedback for implementation.
Roberto A. Martinez

Typed name of Local District Superintendent / Designee Signature of Local District Superintendent / Designee Date