1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Colleen C. Smith (Bar No. 231216)					
2 3	James A. Erselius (Bar No. 303026) 12670 High Bluff Drive					
4	San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: 858.523.5400					
5	Facsimile: 858.523.5450 Email: colleen.smith@lw.com					
6	Email: james.erselius@lw.com					
7	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA					
8	Jennifer Pasquarella* (Bar No. 263241) *attorney admission petition pending 1313 W 8th St.					
9	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: 213.977.5236					
10 11	Email: jpasquarella@aclusocal.org					
12	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL COUNTIES Derdia Valuiti (Der No. 247782)					
13	Bardis Vakili (Bar No. 247783) David Loy (Bar No. 229235) P.O. Box 87131					
14	San Diego, California 92138 Telephone: 619.398.4485					
15	Email: bvakili@aclusandiego.org Email: davidloy@aclusandiego.org					
16	Attorneys for Petitioner Hector Barajas Varela					
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
18						
19	SOUTHERN DISTRICT O	F CALIFORNIA				
20	HECTOR BARAJAS VARELA, an individual,	CASE NO.				
21	Petitioner,	PETITION FOR DE NOVO NATURALIZATION HEARING				
22	V.	PURSUANT TO 8. U.S.C. § 1447(b)				
23	UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES; Kirstjen					
24	NIELSEN, in her Official Capacity, Secretary, U.S. Department of Homeland Security: L.	Immigration Case Agency No. A043-654-110				
25 26	NIELSEN, in her Official Capacity, Secretary, U.S. Department of Homeland Security; L. Francis CISSNA, in his Official Capacity, Director, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; and Melissa W. MAXIM, in her					
20	Official Capacity, Field Office Director, U.S.					
28	Citizenship and Immigration Services. Respondents.					

INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner, Specialist Hector Barajas Varela ("Spc. Barajas"), brings this petition seeking a de novo naturalization hearing on his application for naturalization, which has been pending without a decision since April 15, 2016.

- 5 2. Spc. Barajas is a decorated United States Army veteran, who spent five years and ten months as a specialist in the 82nd Airborne Division. He 6 received an honorable discharge from the Army in 2001. 7 Although born in 8 Mexico, Spc. Barajas was raised in Compton, California, and joined the Army as a 9 lawful permanent resident ("LPR"). Despite his eligibility to naturalize at that 10 time, he did not do so because he was under the mistaken impression from Army 11 recruiters that his honorable service automatically made him a U.S. citizen.
- Following his honorable discharge, Spc. Barajas struggled to put his
 life on track upon reentry to civilian life. Shortly after his 2001 discharge, he was
 convicted of "shooting at an occupied motor vehicle" under California Penal Code
 § 246. After serving his time in prison, Spc. Barajas was detained and deported.
- 4. Since this time, Spc. Barajas has successfully turned his life around
 and devoted himself to a life of serving others. In 2010, he founded the Deported
 Veterans Support House, which provides shelter, social services, and legal services
 to recently deported veterans. Spc. Barajas has become the lead advocate for
 noncitizen U.S. veterans who have been deported or are facing deportation.
- 5. In recognition of his rehabilitation and extraordinary contributions, in
 April 2017, California Governor Jerry Brown issued a full and unconditional
 pardon to Spc. Barajas for his 2001 conviction, writing in his pardon statement that
 "since his release from custody, [Spc. Barajas] has lived an honest and upright life,
 exhibited good moral character, and conducted himself as a law abiding citizen."¹
- 26

1

2

3

- 27 Governor Edmund G. Brown Pardon Statement for Hector Barajas Varela (Apr. 15, 2017),
- 28 https://www.gov.ca.gov/docs/4.15.17_Attested_Pardons_and_Commutations.pdf.

1 6. Spc. Barajas is eligible to naturalize as a U.S. citizen under 8 U.S.C. § 2 1440 due to his honorable service during a period of declared hostilities and his 3 good moral character. After filing his naturalization application on March 30, 2016, Spc. Barajas appeared for a naturalization examination with U.S. Citizenship 4 5 and Immigration Services ("USCIS") on November 18, 2016. On that date, the interviewing officer informed him that he had passed the required English and 6 7 civics portions of the examination, but USCIS did not issue a decision on his 8 application within 120 days of that decision; *i.e.*, by March 18, 2017. Indeed, to 9 date, no decision has been issued.

10 7. Under 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b), this Court has authority to conduct a
11 hearing and make a determination on a naturalization application in the first
12 instance when the agency fails to make a determination within 120 days following
13 a naturalization examination.

8. Section 1447(b) provides that a court may either: (1) "determine the
matter" by conducting a hearing and adjudicating the naturalization application, or
(2) "remand the matter, with appropriate instructions, to the Service to determine
the matter." Spc. Barajas asks this Court to conduct a hearing and adjudicate his
application, rather than remanding it to USCIS. The agency already has withheld
adjudication for over twelve months.

9. In addition to violating the Immigration and Nationality Act and
applicable regulations, 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b); 5 C.F.R. § 335.3, USCIS's delay is
unreasonable in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act ("APA"). 5 U.S.C.
§§ 555, 706.

10. Because more than 120 days have elapsed since the examination, this
Court has jurisdiction to conduct a hearing and make a determination on his
application in the first instance. Accordingly, Spc. Barajas requests that this Court
adjudicate and grant his application and administer the naturalization oath or order
that Respondents administer the naturalization oath.

1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
2	11. This Court has jurisdiction over the present action, including Spc.		
3	Barajas's naturalization application under 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b) (de novo		
4	naturalization hearing), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 5 U.S.C. § 702		
5	(APA). The Court's jurisdiction over the naturalization application is "exclusive";		
6	<i>i.e.</i> , USCIS lacks jurisdiction to adjudicate the application while this case is		
7	ongoing. U.S. v. Hovsepian, 359 F.3d 1144, 1160 (9th Cir. 2004) (en banc).		
8	12. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because this is a civil		
9	action in which Respondents are employees or officers of the United States, acting		
10	in their official capacity, and because a substantial part of the events or omissions		
11	giving rise to the claim occurred in San Diego, California, within this judicial		
12	district.		
13	PARTIES		
14	13. Spc. Barajas is a forty-year-old citizen and national of Mexico who		
15	resides in Tijuana, Mexico.		
16	14. Respondent USCIS is the agency responsible for the adjudication of		
17	applications for naturalization. 8 C.F.R. § 332.1(a).		
18	15. Respondent Kirstjen Nielsen is sued in her official capacity as the		
19	Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. In this capacity, she has		
20	responsibility for the administration and enforcement of the immigration and		
21	naturalization laws. See 8 U.S.C. § 1103(a); see also § 402 of the Homeland		
22	Security Act of 2002, 107 Pub. L. No. 296, 116 Stat. 2135 (Nov. 25, 2002).		
23	16. Respondent L. Francis Cissna is sued in his official capacity as the		
24	Director of the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, a component agency		
24 25	Director of the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, a component agency within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. USCIS is the agency		
25	within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. USCIS is the agency		
25 26	within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. USCIS is the agency responsible for the adjudication of applications for naturalization.		

3

in charge of the field office assigned to conduct the examination on Spc. Barajas's
 naturalization application and is responsible for the adjudication of his application.

3

4

5

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

18. Spc. Barajas is eligible to become a United States citizen under 8U.S.C. § 1440, due to his honorable wartime service in the U.S. Armed Forces.

In 1984, Spc. Barajas moved to the United States from Mexico with
his family at the age of seven. In 1992, Spc. Barajas became a Lawful Permanent
Resident ("LPR"). Spc. Barajas's immediate family continues to reside legally in
the United States—his father, mother, and one of two sisters are naturalized
citizens, while his other sister is an LPR. Spc. Barajas's twelve-year-old U.S.
citizen daughter Liliana also resides in the United States.

20. After graduating from high school, Spc. Barajas enlisted in the United
States Army on November 28, 1995. A year into his service, he became a member
of the 82nd Airborne Division. He won numerous accolades and awards, including
an Army Commendation Medal, Army Good Conduct Medal, and Army
Achievement Medal, and served until he was honorably discharged on October 26,
2001.

18 21. Following his military service, Spc. Barajas was convicted of
19 "shooting at an occupied motor vehicle" in violation of California Penal Code
20 ("CPC") § 246. He entered a plea of nolo contendere and, on July 3, 2002, was
21 sentenced to three years imprisonment. After Spc. Barajas completed two years of
22 his sentence, Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") detained him and
23 placed him in removal proceedings because of his conviction.

24 22. On January 2, 2004, Spc. Barajas was removed from the U.S. In
25 Mexico, Spc. Barajas did not speak Spanish fluently and struggled to find
26 employment. He subsequently re-entered the United States. When police pulled
27 him over for a traffic infraction in January 2010, they handed him over to ICE,
28 who reinstated his removal order from January 2, 2004. He was again removed

1 from the United States on January 22, 2010.

2 23. Since his removal in 2010, Spc. Barajas has devoted his life to helping
3 other deported U.S. military veterans. He founded the Deported Veterans Support
4 House, an organization dedicated to serving the needs of U.S. veterans by
5 providing housing, social services, legal resources, and advocacy. Spc. Barajas
6 works full-time as Director of the Deported Veterans Support House.

7 24. The impact of Spc. Barajas's work and his advocacy on behalf of
8 deported veterans worldwide been extensively documented by the news media, in
9 the United States and internationally.²

25. On April 15, 2017, California Governor Edmund G. Brown granted
Spc. Barajas a pardon for the sole conviction that caused his deportation.
According to the Governor's office, "[a] gubernatorial pardon [is] granted to
individuals who have demonstrated exemplary behavior and have lived productive
and law-abiding lives following their conviction. Pardons are not granted unless
they are earned."³ Governor Brown's pardon statement concludes:

Hector Barajas Varela . . . has shown that since his
release from custody, he has lived an honest and upright
life, exhibited good moral character, and conducted
himself as a law abiding citizen. Indeed, Mr. Barajas
served in the United States Army and received the
Humanitarian Service Medal, Army Good Conduct
Medal, among other awards. He established the

² See, e.g., Patrick J. McDonnell, <u>A home in Tijuana is refuge for deported US</u>
<u>veterans</u>, LA Times (Sept. 13, 2017), http://www.latimes.com/world/mexicoamericas/la-fg-mexico-veterans-2017-story.html; Gabe Ramirez and Daniella Diaz, <u>Deported veterans fight to return 'home' from Mexico</u>, CNN (Apr. 26, 2016), <u>http://www.cnn.com/2016/04/26/politics/deported-veterans-support-house-hectorbarajas-tijuana-mexico/index.html.</u>
³ See Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor Brown Grants Pardons, https://www.gov.ca.gov/news.php?id=19751.

5

Deported Veterans Support House in Tijuana, Mexico to assist deportees in adjusting to life there. . . . [B]y completion of his sentence and good conduct in the community of his residence since his release, Hector Barajas Varela, has paid his debt to society and earned a full and unconditional pardon."⁴

Spc. Barajas's pardon erases his conviction for the purposes of his
eligibility to naturalize under 8 U.S.C § 1440. 8 C.F.R. § 316.10(c)(2) (a person
granted a full and unconditional pardon can establish good moral character for
naturalization in spite of a "aggravated felony" conviction); *see also* 8 U.S.C. §
1227(a)(2)(A)(vi) (waiving "aggravated felony" ground of removability if a full
and unconditional gubernatorial pardon has been granted).

13 27. "Any person who, while an alien . . . has served honorably . . . in an
14 active-duty status in the military, air, or naval forces of the United States" during a
15 period of hostilities as designated by Executive Order "may be naturalized" if he
16 enlisted while in the United States. 8 U.S.C. § 1440(a). Spc. Barajas enlisted
17 while in the United States and served during a designated period of hostilities.⁵

18 28. Unlike other forms of naturalization, no age, residence, or physical
19 presence requirements for naturalization apply to wartime service members. 8
20 U.S.C. § 1447(b). There is also no requirement that the applicant be an LPR at the
21 time of his application. *Id*.

22 29. By regulation, USCIS was required to issue a decision on Spc.
23 Barajas's naturalization application, or schedule a second examination, within 120

24

1

2

3

4

5

- ²⁰ https://www.gov.ca.gov/docs/4.15.17_Attested_Pardons_and_Commutations.pdf.
- 27 See Executive Order 13269—Expedited Naturalization of Aliens and Noncitizen Nationals Serving in An Active-Duty Status During the War on Terrorism, 2002
 28 WL 1833360, at *1.

 ²⁵ ⁴ Governor Edmund G. Brown Pardon Statement for Hector Barajas Varela (Apr. 15, 2017),
 ²⁶ <sup>bttps://www.gov.gov.gov/doos/4.15.17
</sup>

1	days after the November 18, 2016 examination, <i>i.e.</i> , on or before March 18, 2017.		
2	8 C.F.R. §§ 335.3(a); 336.1(a).		
3	30. USCIS did not issue a decision, or schedule a second examination, on		
4	or before March 18, 2017.		
5	31. Spc. Barajas, through counsel, contacted USCIS on at least four		
6	occasions to follow up on Spc. Barajas's application for naturalization, but no		
7	decision on the application has been issued.		
8	32. The delay of Spc. Barajas's naturalization application has caused great		
9	emotional distress and anxiety to Spc. Barajas.		
10	CAUSE OF ACTION		
11	<u>COUNT ONE</u>		
12	(Petition for De Novo Naturalization Hearing, 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b))		
13	33. Spc. Barajas incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as		
14	though fully set forth herein. The Immigration and Nationality Act at 8 U.S.C.		
15	§ 1447(b) provides as follows:		
16	Request for hearing before district court. If there is a		
17	failure to make a determination under section 335 [8		
18	U.S.C. § 1446] of this title before the end of the 120-day		
19	period after the date on which the examination is		
20	conducted under such section, the applicant may apply to		
21	the United States district court for the district in which		
22	the applicant resides for a hearing on the matter. Such		
23	court has jurisdiction over the matter and may either		
24	determine the matter or remand the matter, with		
25	appropriate instructions, to the Service to determine the		
26	matter.		
27	See also 8 C.F.R. §§ 335.3(a); 336.1(a).		
28	///		

7

1 34. More than 120 days have elapsed since USCIS examined Spc. Barajas 2 on November 18, 2016. To date, USCIS has failed to make a determination on 3 Spc. Barajas's naturalization application. This Court has the authority to conduct a 4 de novo hearing on a naturalization application when more than 120 days have 5 elapsed since the naturalization examination, or, alternatively, to order USCIS to 6 determine the matter within a designated period of time. 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b).

COUNT TWO

(Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act)

9 35. Spc. Barajas incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as10 though fully set forth herein.

11 36. The APA requires administrative agencies to conclude matters presented to them "within a reasonable time." 5 U.S.C. § 555(b). A district court 12 13 reviewing agency action may "compel agency action unlawfully withheld or 14 unreasonably delayed." 5 U.S.C. § 706(1). The court may also hold unlawful 15 agency action that is found to be: "not in accordance with the law," 5 U.S.C. 16 706(2)(A); "in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short 17 of statutory right," 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C); or "without observance of procedure 18 required by law," 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(D). "Agency action" includes, in relevant 19 part, "an agency rule, order, license, sanction, relief, or the equivalent or denial 20 thereof, or failure to act." 5 U.S.C. § 551(13).

37. Respondents' failure to adjudicate Spc. Barajas's application for over
one year since his naturalization examination, in light of the non-discretionary
statutory obligation to do so within 120 days of the examination, violates the APA. *See Sidhu v. Chertoff*, No. 1:07CV1188AWISMS, 2008 WL 540685, at *2, *7
(E.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2008) (concluding plaintiff stated a claim for unreasonable
delay under the APA for naturalization application that had been pending for over
one year).

28 ///

7

1	38. As a result of Respondents' actions and omissions, Spc. Barajas has		
2	suffered and continues to suffer injury.		
3			PRAYER FOR RELIEF
4	WHEREFORE, Spc. Barajas prays that this Court grant the following relief:		
5		(1)	Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
6		(2)	Conduct a de novo hearing on Spc. Barajas's application for
7			naturalization;
8		(3)	Grant Spc. Barajas's application for naturalization;
9		(4)	Administer the oath of allegiance or order Respondents to administer
10			this oath;
11		(5)	Alternatively (to 2-4), order USCIS to determine the matter within
12			thirty days;
13		(6)	Award reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and
14		(7)	Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

1	Dated: December 12, 2017	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: <u>s/ Colleen C. Smith</u>
3		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
4		Colleen C. Smith
5		James A. Erselius 12670 High Bluff Drive
6		San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: 858.523.5400
7		Facsimile: 858.523.5450
8		Email: colleen.smith@lw.com james.erselius@lw.com
9		ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN
10		CALIFORNIA Jennifer Pasquarella*
11		Jennifer Pasquarella* *attorney admission petition pending 1313 W 8th St.
12		Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: 213.977.5236 Email: jpasquarella@aclusocal.org
13		
14		ACLU FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL COUNTIES
15		Bardis Vakili David Loy P.O. Box 87131
16		San Diego, California 92138 Telephone: 619.398.4485
17		Email: bvakili@aclusandiego.org
18		davidloy@aclusandiego.org
19		Attorneys for Petitioner Hector Barajas Varela
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
WATKINS•		PETITION FOR DE NOVO NATURALIZATION

LATHAM&WATKIN Attorneys At Law San Diego