Los Angeles Unified School District 2019-2020 School Innovation Funds Plan

Program Budget Code:

10359 (School Innovation Funds)

		0/ aff-afe-	% of Foster	% of	% of Students	Total Amount of
otal Student Enrollment	% of Low- Income Students	% of English Learner Students	Youth Students	Homeless Students	with Disabilities	School Innovation Funds Allocated to the School

Directions: Briefly describe, if applicable, the services being provided that are aligned to the District's LCAP goals and indicate the amount of School Innovation Funds that support those services. Specify the targeted subgroup(s) as low-income students, English learners, RFEPs, and/or foster youth. NOTE: A minimum allocation of School Innovation Funds must be used for professional development activities in English language arts and mathematics, and at a minimum School Innovation funds must be used for improving school climate utilizing a required staffing level. Please see the SIF Planning and Guidance document to import appropriate staffing levels into the plan.

Yearly Look fors:

School Year	Capacity	Expectations/Accountability	Sustainability
Year 1: 2017-2018	Conduct Needs Assessment to identify priorities and ensure that resources are targeted to meet measurable outcomes Align Professional Development priorities to meet instructional goals with emphasis on developing systems rooted in student achievement Engage stakeholders in school plan Establish the Achievement Through Support Team	Discuss data & data analysis protocol Identify focus groups for instructional rounds Establish student leadership groups Establish the Achievement Through Support Team	Provide professional development on initiatives Identify and review critical support for varied entry points of students, faculty, staff, and community Begin documentation of the narrative around collaborative systems of planning and ongoing assessment Establish the Achievement Through Support Team
Year 2: 2018-2019	PLCs and/or BTT protocol are effectively used in ELA & math Schoolwide ELA & math initiatives Frequent peer to peer observations Quality parent workshops Process for monitoring school plan implemented by teachers Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping and data review	 Publicly display school data and progress Implement instructional rounds Student-led conferences, student data chats, and student monitoring Collaboration of all stakeholders to review and refine instructional plans based upon ongoing data analysis and review Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping and data review 	Review and publish documentation of the narrative around collaborative systems Establish parent cadres Protocols for school systems that are known by all stakeholders Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping and data review
Year 3: 2019-2020	Highly qualified personnel to support instructional goals Full implementation of school improvement plans Teacher ownership of work at school site School focused on continuous improvements Use results of ATS data review to guide data driven approaches	Mutual accountability shared amongst stakeholders Environment that supports regular observations and feedback Student ownership of own learning through an awareness of academic goals and achievements Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping and data review	Documentation of the journey Maintain the collaborative systems of planning that are assessed Proactive parent advisory group Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping and data review

Description of Services that address: 100% Graduation Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English Jeamers, RFEPs, and fester youth: Graduation rate Percentage of high school students on track for A-G with a "C" Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low- income, EL, RFEP: and/or Foster Youth	Related District- wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
A needs assessment was conducted analyzing data (SBA, IAB, mark analysis reports, Single Plan for Student Achievement, REED, and resources from the District Management Group). Staff was surveyed during professional development and parent/community members were surveyed using the following platforms: coffee with the principal and Saturday breakfast with the principal meeting/workshops. The needs assessment was needed to maximize our efforts and to align the plan to the foci area (mathematics). The needs assessment aided the school in determining data driven approaches to building capacity, establishing expectations & accountability systems, and in creating systems that will deem sustainable over time. School site leaders across the 12 schools will meet four times throughout the year as a professional learning community to measure the impact of implementation on teaching and learning. To ensure our school makes substantial progress towards meeting the graduation goal of 100% the following has been identified as an area of need: Data: The following percentages of students are not on track to earn a "C" or above in the core courses: English 30.3%; Math 44.8%; Science 23%; and Social Studies 34.6% SBA Data reveals the following results:	2017-18 x 2018-19 x 2019-20		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and Foster Youth	Graduation Rate: 81% Percentage of high school students on- track for A-G with a "C": 50% Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%
2.08% Standard Exceeded 9.79% Standard Met 16.88% Standard Nearly Met 71.25% Standard Not Met LIBRARY AIDE – to provide to students and teachers in the school library and media center to to provide teachers assistance in the instruction of		\$51,843		

Description of Services that address: Proficiency for All Budgetad priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income. English learners: REEPs and foster youth. Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 rd 8 th 11 th grade. English language arts. Percentage of students who mot prexceeded standards in 3 rd 8 th 11 th grade mathematics. Et reclassification rate. Rate of EEs making annual progress on CEEDT. Decrease in long-term English learners (LTEEs)	School Year [mark / applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Largeted Student Group(s) Low Income EL REEP and/or Foster Youth	Related District- wide SY17-18 LGAP Targets
Upon close analysis of SBA data, reclassification rate, and grade distribution reports more opportunities for professional growth for administration and teachers and strategic intervention for students must be systemized in an effort to maximize and align resources. Protocols learned from the District Management Group will be replicated to include all content areas – Year 1: Mathematics; Year 2: English; Year 3: Science & Social Science. This will include the development of SMART Goals for all content areas, targeting a specific group of students. Break Through Teams (BTT) will be developed for each area of focus. Content BTT members will serve as the ambassadors for change in their perspective content areas. SBA Data reveals the following results: 2.08% Standard Exceeded 9.79% Standard Met 16.88% Standard Nearly Met 15.38% Standard Nearly Met 79.63% Standard Not Met	2017-18 x 2018-19 x 2019-20		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and Foster Youth	 Percentage of students who me or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade ELA: 46% Percentage of students who me or exceeded standards in 3rd-8th, 11th grade math: 36% EL reclassification rate: 22% Rate of ELs making annual progress on CELDT: 57% Decrease in long term English learners: 17%
Data extracted from the LCAP Scorecard: Proficiency for All: Proficiency for All 17-18 School Actual 2				
Percentage of Students Who Met or Exceeded Standards in 3 rd -8 rd , 11 rd Grade ELA				
Percentage of Students Who Met or 4.9% Exceeded Standards in 3 rd -8 th , 11 th - 2 rd Grade Math				

Percentage of English Learners Who Reclassify as Fluent English Proficient	36.4%			
Percentage of English Learners Who Have Not Reclassified in 5 Years	%			
Percentage of English Learners Making Annual Progress on CELOT	%			
Percentage of Students with Disabilities Who Are in the General Education Program at Least 80% of the School Day	%			
Many of the class sizes in core subject area Using Innovation and Title I funds, class siz Asst. Principal will assist the principal in profollowing observations. Currently administrator will increase this to monitor the feedback given to students folkower class size.	res will be reduced to 25 – 35 students. byiding direct feedback to teachers ation is able to visit 2 classes per day. The 4 classes per day. Asst. Principal will also bying the administration of the IABs.			
CSR TCHR ELA ELEM – To lower class siz DDSUB CSR T BEN ABSC (5 days)	ze in English Language Arts	\$116,274 \$1,800	1	
IMA – Instructional materials to support the science, and social studies standards	achievement of mathematics, ELA,	\$69,109		

Description of Services that address: 100% Attendance: Budgeted promises should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data or low-income. English learners. Ri EPs and foster youth: Percentage of students with a 96% (172-180 days) attendance rate. Percentage of students missing 16 days or more in a school year. Percentage of all staff attending 96% or above.	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Targeted Student Group(s): Low- income, EL REEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Attendance has been identified as the foundation for student achievement. Learning cannot take place when students are not in seat. The increased focus on attendance through meaningful intervention and effective incentive programs will assist the school in maintaining a 96% or higher attendance rate. Students who are deemed chronically absent will be tiered and provided with supports tailored to meet their needs. Attendance Data extracted from MyData indicates the following:	2017- 18 x 2018- 19		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and Foster Youth	Percentage of students with a 96% or higher attendance rate: 75% Percentage of students missing 16 days or more

Name of School	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	x 2019- 20	in a school year: 9% Percentage of all
AUDUBON MS	97.0%	96.2%	95.4%		staff attending 96% or above: 78%
endance Data extracted from					
00% Attendance		16 17 School Actu	i l		
Percentage of Students Atta acti School Year (96-7-61) (ate)	ndmin 17.7治规划是指导5	30.2%			
Percentage of Students with Missing 46-Days of St. Valor					
Percentage of All Staff Atter	iding 96% ar Abeve	34%	1		
2017-2018 Current Attendance Data: Chronic Absence (91% or lower) – 17.8% Percentage of Students with a 96% or higher attendance – 71% Percentage of Staff with a 96% or higher attendance – 67%			\$82,353		
OC CONTRACT TEACHER			o engage	ψ02,333	

Description of Services that address: Parent, Community and Student Engagement Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth. Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Expendice Survey. Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (minimum 4, workshops annually)	School Year (mark applicable year(s))	Amount of School Innovation Funds	Group(s)	SY17-18 LCAP Targets
Partnerships forged with our parents and community members will open the doors of communication and close the communication gap between home and school. Parents and community members will become aware of and familiar with academic initiatives through workshops and community forums. Data: 38% of parents participated on Student Experience Survey.	2017-18 X 2018-19 x 2019-20		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and Foster Youth	Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey: 62% Percentage of schools training parents on
ITIN NURSE – to provide supplemental health services. (1 day/wk)		\$23,256		academic initiatives

ITN ST&FAMRSCNAV-STM (2.5 days/wk) – to provide support for students and parents in need of social servcies	\$41,611	(min. 4 workshops): 94%
ITIN PSYCH SCHOOL – to provide counseling to students, to consult regarding behavior intervention strategies, and to create staff and parent presentations. (2 days/wk)	\$48,482	
COMMUNITY REP – 2 positions to develop community partnerships	\$68,262	

Description of Services that address School Safety Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the Tollowing disaggregated data of low-income. English learners, RFEPs, and Toster youth. Single student suspension rate Expulsion rate Expulsion rate Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy Percentage of students who feel safe at school	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Amount of School Innovation Funds	largeted Student Group(s) Low-income, EL RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Related District wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets
The current discipline data extracted from Misis Adhoc reveals 73 Discipline Referrals and 2 Suspensions to date. With the added supervision, discipline referrals will decrease. Monitoring will be ongoing and the impact of the increased supervision aides will be measured by tracking discipline referrals weekly and the number of students who participate in restorative justice practices. Data: 3.1% Suspension Rate; 43% of students feel safe at school, Daily calls from PE staff regarding safe space, student truancy. Average of 2 fights per week school-wide	x 2017-18 x 2018-19 x 2019-20		Low-income, EL, RFEP, and Foster Youth	Suspension rate: .35% Expulsion rate: .01% Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy: 88% Percentage of students who feel
NONREGC TCHR SEC - RJ Advisor: a teacher to implement restorative justice practices to improve school safety. Engage students and staff in Mindfulness strategies to teach self-regulation in support of the Growth Mindset Activities.		\$116,274		safe at school: 80%
CONTR INSTRL SCVC – to provide contract with ArtActive to integrate art into core instruction; to provide contract with STEP Learning to improve math PD for teachers and to offer enrichment STEM activities for students; to provide contract Carter Motivational Strategies PD for students.		\$40,000		

I certify that this School Innovation Funds Plan incorporates the minimum requirement of funding to be used for professional development in English language arts and mathematics and at a minimum, fulfills the requirements for school climate personnel per the School Innovation Funds guidance, and that the plan is based on an assessment of school needs.

4//26/2018

Harold Boger	DB BORGE	4/3/19
Typed name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
The Local District Superintendent or Designee has reviewed the feedback for implementation.	e School Innovation Funds Plan with the principal and agreed to sup	pport and provide
Cheryl P. Hildreth Candica		4. 29.19
Typed name of Local District Superintendent / Designee	Signature of Local District Superintendent / Designee	Date