# Los Angeles Unified School District 2017-2019 School Innovation Funds Plan

#### Program Budget Code:

10359 (School Innovation Funds)

Dechele Byrd	West	George Washington Preparatory High School
Principal	Local District	Name of School

Total \$ 1.041.330.00	19% (n=173)	1% (n=10)	3.7% (n=34)	20.6% (n=186)	90% (n=812)	899
Total Amount of School Innovation Funds Allocated to the School	% of Students with Disabilities	% of Homeless Students	% of Foster Youth Students	% of English Learner Students	% of Low- Income Students	Total Student Enrollment

Directions: Briefly describe, if applicable, the services being provided that are aligned to the District's LCAP goals and Please see the SIF Planning and Guidance document to import appropriate staffing levels into the plan. minimum School Innovation funds must be used for improving school climate utilizing a required staffing level. Funds must be used for professional development activities in English language arts and mathematics, and at a income students, English learners, RFEPs, and/or foster youth. NOTE: A minimum allocation of School Innovation indicate the amount of School Innovation Funds that support those services. Specify the targeted subgroup(s) as low-

#### Yearly Look fors:

Year 1: 2017-2018	Conduct Needs Assessment to	Discuss data & data analysis protocol	•	Provide professional development on
	identify priorities and ensure that	<ul> <li>Identify focus groups for instructional</li> </ul>		initiatives
	resources are targeted to meet	rounds	•	Identify and review critical support for
	measurable outcomes	<ul> <li>Establish student leadership groups</li> </ul>		varied entry points of students, faculty,
	Align Professional Development	Establish the Achievement Through		staff, and community
	priorities to meet instructional goals	Support Team	•	around collaborative systems of planning
	systems rooted in student			and ongoing assessment
	achievement		•	Establish the Achievement Through
	Engage stakeholders in school plan			Support Team
	Establish the Achievement			
	Through Support Team			
Year 2: 2018-2019	PLCs and/or BTT protocol are	<ul> <li>Publicly display school data and progress</li> </ul>	•	Review and publish documentation of the
	effectively used in ELA & math	<ul> <li>Implement instructional rounds</li> </ul>		narrative around collaborative systems
	Schoolwide ELA & math initiatives	<ul> <li>Student-led conferences, student data</li> </ul>	•	Establish parent cadres
	Frequent peer to peer observations	chats, and student monitoring	•	Protocols for school systems that are
	Quality parent workshops	<ul> <li>Collaboration of all stakeholders to</li> </ul>		known by all stakeholders
	Process for monitoring school plan	review and refine instructional plans	•	Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping
	<ul> <li>Utilize ATS Team for resource</li> </ul>	review		alla dami cercer
	mapping and data review	Utilize ATS Team for resource		
		mapping and data review		
Year 3: 2019-2020	Highly qualified personnel to support	Mutual accountability shared amongst	•	Documentation of the journey
	Full implementation of school	<ul> <li>Environment that supports regular</li> </ul>	•	planning that are assessed
	improvement plans	observations and feedback	•	Proactive parent advisory group
	Teacher ownership of work at	<ul> <li>Student ownership of own learning</li> </ul>	•	Utilize ATS Team for resource mapping
	school site	through an awareness of academic goals		and data review
	School focused on continuous	and achievements		
	improvements	Utilize ATS Team for resource		
`	Use results of ATS data review to	mapping and data review		

		\$441,735		Non-Cap Equipment – Technology to access digital content:  Schoology, iXL, Shmoop, College Board, KhanAcademy, MyPerspective, SpringBoard, NAVIANCE
		\$74,583		Technology Support Teacher – Non-Register Carrying Teacher during and beyond the school day will meet weekly with administration, teachers, counselors, students and families to plan, facilitate, and coordinate:  Provide instructional and technical support  Conducts professional development to faculty and staff members on integrating technology into instructional planning
		\$77,266		College Counselor during and beyond the school day will meet weekly with administration, counselors, students, and families to plan, facilitate and coordinate:  Increasing the number of students completing FAFSA by 10%  Increase the number of students applying and attending colleges/universities  Review IGPs with counselors for additional guided support with A-G requirements  Support full implementation and monitoring of NAVIANCE
<ul> <li>Graduation Rate: 81%</li> <li>Percentage of high school students ontrack for A-G with a "C": 50%</li> <li>Percentage of students with an Individual Graduation Plan meeting: 100%</li> </ul>			X 2017- 18 X 2018- 19	A needs assessment was conducted analyzing data (SBA, IAB, mark analysis reports, Single Plan for Student Achievement, SIG, WASC, and resources from the District Management Group). Staff was surveyed during professional development and parent/community members were surveyed using the following platforms: coffee with the principal and Saturday breakfast with the principal meeting/workshops. The needs assessment was needed to maximize our efforts and to align the plan to the foci area (mathematics). The needs assessment aided the school in determining data driven approaches to building capacity, establishing expectations & accountability systems, and in creating systems that will deem sustainable over time. School site leaders across the 12 schools will meet four times throughout the year as a professional learning community to measure the impact of implementation on teaching and learning. To ensure our school makes substantial progress towards meeting the graduation goal of 100% the following has been identified as an area of need:
Related District- wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets	Targeted Student Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Amount of School Innovation Funds	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Description of Services that addiress: 100% Graduation Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and roster youth: Graduation rate Percentage of high school students on-track for A-G with a "C" Percentage of students with an individual Graduation Plan meeting

<ul> <li>5.38% Standard Exceeded</li> <li>27.96% Standard Met</li> <li>33.33% Standard Nearly Met</li> <li>33.33% Standard Not Met</li> <li>75.82% Standard Not Met</li> </ul>	SBA Data reveals the following results:	Curricular Trips – College visits	General Supplies – Branding support for positive behavior initiatives, AVID resource materials, classroom supplies to support instructional plan for classroom activities	Day-To-Day Subs	<ul> <li>inclease the number of students applying and attending colleges/universities</li> <li>Review IGPs with counselors for additional guided support with A-G requirements</li> <li>Support full implementation and monitoring of NAVIANCE</li> </ul>	Counseling Secondary X – Time – professional development, student and family workshops to  increase the number of students completing FAFSA by 10%	Tutor Teacher X-Time – Support students with AP Span Lang and Lit Support for passage on the AP Exam
		\$12,000	\$40,000	\$7,440		\$6,982	\$3,000

				participant days for after hours for Mastery Learning and grading.
		\$89,021		Prof Development Teacher X-Time – participant days for conference attendance
				Per Diem
				Mileage
				Airfare
				Hotel
				Registration fees
				conference
				NCTM 2018. CABE. ISTE. AP Conference. Dream Deferred – up to six teachers per
learners: 17%		\$35,000		Charles Conference Attacks AVID Common Logic to 1 Dec AVID LACOU Hospita
term English				SpringBoard, NAVIANCE
<ul> <li>Decrease in long-</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>Schoology, iXL, Shmoop, College Board, KhanAcademy, MyPerspective,</li> </ul>
CELDT: 57%		\$441,735		Non-Cap Equipment – Technology to access digital content:
nrogress on		_		integrating technology into instructional planning
Rate of ELs	-			<ul> <li>Conducts professional development to faculty and staff members on</li> </ul>
rate: 22%				<ul> <li>Provide instructional and technical support</li> </ul>
reclassification				and families to plan, facilitate, and coordinate:
• E				the school day will meet weekly with administration, teachers, counselors, students
math: 36%		\$74,583		Technology Support Teacher - Non-Register Carrying Teacher during and heyond
8 <sup>th</sup> 11 <sup>th</sup> grade				
or exceeded				ambassadors for change in their perspective content areas.
students who met				will be developed for each area of focus. Content B I I members will serve as the
<ul> <li>Percentage of</li> </ul>			le le	all content areas, targeting a specific group of students. Break Through Teams (BTT)
ELA: 46%			X 2018-	3. Science & Social Science. This will include the development of SMART Goals for
8 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade			-	replicated to include all content areas – Year 1: Mathematics; Year 2: English; Year
standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -			ī	alian resources. Protocols learned from the District Management Group will be
or exceeded			X 2017-	more opportunities for professional growth for administration and teachers and
Percentage of			┨	Upon close analysis of SBA data, reclassification rate, and grade distribution reports
	Youth			Decrease in long-term English learners (LTELs)
	Foster			- EL reciassification rate  - Et of Et o making annual importass on CELOT
	and/or			mathematics
	刀[[T]] [T]			- Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>rd</sup> , 1/l <sup>tt</sup> grade
	income, EL,		year(s)]	English language arts
	LOW-	Fungs	applicable	- Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in 3°°-3°° 11°° grade
LUAF largers	Group(s):	HONEAGUM	mark	Budgeted phonties should be based upon the sanous analysis of the informity
		i odilodi	, real	
Delated Dietrict	Tamatad	>3 3 2 2 2 2 3	D2422	ファンドラン トラクションドン テレーシェン・

\$10,010 \$1,500 \$1,500 \$20,000  \$20,000	Disabilities Who Are in the General Education Program at Least 80% of the School Day	e of English Learners Who Reclassified in 5 Years	Percentage of English Learners Who 14.8% Reclassify as Fluent English Proficient	Percentage of Students Who Met or 3% Exceeded Standards in 34.84, 114 Grade Math	Percentage of Students Who Met or 33% Exceeded Standards in 3rd.8m, 11m Grade ELA	Proficiency for All 16-17 School Actual	Data extracted from the LCAP Scorecard: Proficiency for All:	% Standard Not Met	<ul> <li>33.33% Standard Nearly Met</li> <li>20.92% Standard Nearly Met</li> <li>75.82</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5.38% Standard Exceeded</li> <li>27.96% Standard Met</li> <li>3.27% Standard Met</li> </ul>	SBA Data reveals the following results:	Software Licenses – Shmoop.com – for Advanced Placement Support, iXL full suite for ELA and Math, Flocabulary for Social Sciences	IMA – What's Math Got to Do with It – 10 Copies	Teacher Auxiliary – Support for AVID program implementation	Tutor Teacher X-Time – Support students with AP Span Lang and Lit Support for passage on the AP Exam
20,000								ot Met	ard Nearly Met	Exceeded			46	<b>69</b>	
												20,000	\$1,500	10,010	\$3,000

Percentage of All Staff Attending 96% or Above	Percentage of Students with Chronic Absence (Missing 16 Days or 91% or Lower Attendance)	Attendance Data extracted from the LCAP Scorecard indicates the following:  Percentage of Students Attending 172-180  Days Each School Year (96% or Higher  Attendance Rate)  52%	
age of	age of (Miss	e Data	
All Sta	Studer ing 16	a extra Studer 1001 Ye te)	
ff Atte	nts witl Days	cted fr nts Attr ear (96	
nding 9	Chroi or 91%	om the anding or H	
)6% or	nic or Lo	LCAF 172-1: ligher	
		Score	
55%	31%	recard ii 52%	
		ndicat	
		es the	
		followi	
		ng:	
		10minung comm	
			_
			_

		\$74,583		Technology Support Teacher – Non-Register Carrying Teacher during and beyond the school day will meet weekly with administration, teachers, counselors, students and families to plan, facilitate, and coordinate:  • Provide instructional and technical support
parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey: 62%  • Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (min. 4 workshops): 94%			X 2017-18 X 2018-19	the doors of communication and close the communication gap between home and school. Parents and community members will become aware of and familiar with academic initiatives through workshops and community forums.
<ul> <li>Percentage of</li> </ul>				Partnerships forged with our parents and community members will open
Related District-wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets	Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth	Amount of School Innovation Funds	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]	Description of Services that address:  Parent, Community and Student Engagement Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth:  - Percentage of parent/caregiver participation on School Experience Survey - Percentage of schools training parents on academic initiatives (minimum 4 workshops annually)

Non-Cap Equipment – Technology to access digital content:  Schoology, iXL, Shmoop, College Board, KhanAcademy, MyPerspective, online surveys, online assessments	<ul> <li>Conducts professional development to faculty and staff members on integrating technology into instructional planning</li> <li>Facilitate parent/caregiver meetings to increase the participation and positive responses on access to technology for the School Experience Survey</li> </ul>
	\$441,735

Restorative Justice Advisor – during and beyond the school day to Implementing the Resilience Classroom Curriculum – Trauma Informed Instruction  • Facilitate profession development to support community building efforts, restorative justice strategies, enhancing interpersonal relationships and connections among staff, students, and families	Restorative justice practice will be infused within the climate and culture of the school as capacity is built with teachers and staff over a three year implementation period. Year 1: Understanding Restorative Justice as a tool to address undesired behaviors or mediate conflict using 1 strategy; Year 2: Adopt 3 strategies to use schoolwide; Year 3: Revisit adopted strategies and refine.	The current discipline data extracted from Misis Adhoc reveals 83 Discipline Referrals to date. With the added supervision, discipline referrals will decrease. Monitoring will be ongoing and the impact of the increased supervision aides will be measured by tracking discipline referrals weekly and the number of students who participate in restorative justice practices.	Description of Services that address: School Safety Budgeted priorities should be based upon the school's analysis of the following disaggregated data of low-income, English learners, RFEPs, and foster youth: - Single student suspension rate - Expulsion rate - Expulsion rate - Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Foundation Policy - Percentage of students who feel safe at school
		2017-18	School Year [mark applicable year(s)]
\$77,266			Amount of School Innovation Funds
			Targeted Student Group(s): Low-income, EL, RFEP, and/or Foster Youth
	Policy: 88%  Percentage of students who feel safe at school: 80%	<ul> <li>Suspension rate: .35%</li> <li>Expulsion rate: .01%</li> <li>Extent to which the school is implementing the Discipline Equation</li> </ul>	Related District- wide SY17-18 LCAP Targets

General Supplies – Branding support for positive behavior initiatives, AVID resource materials, classroom supplies to support instructional plan for classroom activities	Itinerant Student & Family Resource Navigator – provides services to support the wellness center and coordination of:  Mental health agencies and School Based PSW  Coordinate with St. John's Wellness Clinic Collaborate with Teacher and students for wellness grants  Provide outreach and resources to families	Office Technician — will support the implementation of the Resilience Classroom Curriculum Provide support for accurate documentation and recordkeeping
\$40,000	\$57,019	\$44,254

I certify that this School Innovation Funds Plan incorporates the minimum requirement of funding to be used for professional development in English language arts and mathematics and at a minimum, fulfills the requirements for school climate personnel per the School Innovation Funds guidance, and that the plan is based on an assessment of school needs.

Typed name of Local District Superintendent / Designee	Cheryl P. Hildreth	The Local District Superintendent or Designee has reviewed the School Innovation Funds Plan with the principal feedback for implementation.	Typed name of School Principal	Dechele Byrd
Signature of Local District Superintendent / Designee	Chris Orbital		Signature of School Principal	Message
Date	11/28/2017	l and agreed to support and provide	Date	11/28/2017